## Nudge and Tax in an Environmental Public Goods Experiment: Does Environmental Sensitivity Matter?

Kene Boun  $\mathrm{My}^{*1}$  and Benjamin Ouvrard\*<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Bureau d'économie théorique et appliquée (BETA) – université de Strasbourg, CNRS : UMR7522, Université Nancy II – P E G E 61 avenue de la Forêt Noire 67085 STRASBOURG CEDEX, France 

<sup>2</sup>Laboratoire d'Economie Forestière (LEF) – AgroParisTech, Institut national de la recherche agronomique (INRA) : UMR0356 – F-54000 Nancy, France

## Abstract

We provide an experimental test of the theoretical predictions obtained in Ouvrard and Spaeter (2016). A public goods experiment is proposed in which the subjects can contribute to reduce the level of pollution, which is stochastic. A nudge (announcement of the socially optimal contribution) and a tax are implemented to improve the level of contributions. The environmental sensitivity and optimism of the subjects are also elicited. Our rst result shows that the implementation of the nudge does not perform as well as the implementation of the tax. The reaction to the nudge depends directly on individuals' environmental sensitivity, contrary to the reaction to the tax. Secondly, the nudge performs well with highly sensitive subjects only during the rst half of its implementation. Lastly, the eciency analysis shows that the implementation of the nudge signicantly decreases the groups' welfare for the least sensitive subjects, in comparison to the baseline. In sum, these results tend to corroborate the predictions obtained in Ouvrard and Spaeter (2016).

Keywords: incentives, nudge, environmental sensitivity, optimism, tax

<sup>\*</sup>Speaker